

Resource Summary Report

Generated by PRECISE-TBI on Sep 8, 2026

InnoCentive

RRID:SCR_013851

Type: Tool

Proper Citation

InnoCentive (RRID:SCR_013851)

Resource Information

URL: <https://www.innocentive.com>

Proper Citation: InnoCentive (RRID:SCR_013851)

Description: A global network group resource which provides crowdsourcing services for “innovation solutions.” InnoCentive utilizes an innovation framework, called Challenge Drive Innovation, which is based on leveraging open innovation and crowdsourcing. Software tools are available for idea management, innovation management, and external crowdsourcing programs and competitions.

Resource Type: people resource, software resource

Keywords: group, crowdsourcing, Challenge Drive Innovation, software, tools, idea management

Availability: Must pay for resource

Resource Name: InnoCentive

Resource ID: SCR_013851

License URLs: <https://www.innocentive.com/ar/contract/view>

Record Creation Time: 20220129T080318+0000

Record Last Update: 20260905T132741+0000

Ratings and Alerts

No rating or validation information has been found for InnoCentive.

No alerts have been found for InnoCentive.

Data and Source Information

Usage and Citation Metrics

We found 9 mentions in open access literature.

Listed below are recent publications. The full list is available at [PRECISE-TBI](#) .

Rudan I, et al. (2025) Ideometrics: a scientific approach to generating, evaluating, and prioritising ideas. *Journal of global health*, 15, 04360.

Ahmadabadi SN, et al. (2024) Design and evaluation of crowdsourcing platforms based on users' confidence judgments. *Scientific reports*, 14(1), 18379.

Priestley M, et al. (2021) Measuring the impact of publicly funded open innovation programmes: the case of Data Market Services Accelerator. *Open research Europe*, 1, 71.

Callaghan CW, et al. (2018) Developing the Transdisciplinary Aging Research Agenda: New Developments in Big Data. *Current aging science*, 11(1), 33.

Schuhmacher A, et al. (2016) Changing R&D models in research-based pharmaceutical companies. *Journal of translational medicine*, 14(1), 105.

Acar OA, et al. (2016) Knowledge Distance, Cognitive-Search Processes, and Creativity: The Making of Winning Solutions in Science Contests. *Psychological science*, 27(5), 692.

Acar OA, et al. (2015) Understanding Fear of Opportunism in Global Prize-Based Science Contests: Evidence for Gender and Age Differences. *PloS one*, 10(7), e0134898.

Schuurman J, et al. (2014) Opening the door to innovation. *mAbs*, 6(4), 812.

Rinaldi A, et al. (2009) Science wikinomics. Mass networking through the web creates new forms of scientific collaboration. *EMBO reports*, 10(5), 439.