

Resource Summary Report

Generated by [PRECISE-TBI](#) on Sep 8, 2026

[RetractionWatch.com](#)

RRID:SCR_000654

Type: Tool

Proper Citation

RetractionWatch.com (RRID:SCR_000654)

Resource Information

URL: <http://retractionwatch.wordpress.com/>

Proper Citation: RetractionWatch.com (RRID:SCR_000654)

Description: Retraction Watch is a blog of retractions in the scientific literature. It is maintained by Adam Marcus and Ivan Oransky and has been operating since August 2010.

Synonyms: retractionwatch, Retraction Watch

Resource Type: blog, data or information resource, narrative resource

Keywords: literature, retraction, bibliographic, database, blog

Resource Name: RetractionWatch.com

Resource ID: SCR_000654

Alternate IDs: nif-0000-06675

Record Creation Time: 20220129T080202+0000

Record Last Update: 20260905T133111+0000

Ratings and Alerts

No rating or validation information has been found for RetractionWatch.com.

No alerts have been found for RetractionWatch.com.

Data and Source Information

Source: [SciCrunch Registry](#)

Usage and Citation Metrics

We found 4 mentions in open access literature.

Listed below are recent publications. The full list is available at [PRECISE-TBI](#) .

Ioannidis JPA, et al. (2025) Linking citation and retraction data reveals the demographics of scientific retractions among highly cited authors. PLoS biology, 23(1), e3002999.

Steen RG, et al. (2013) Why has the number of scientific retractions increased? PloS one, 8(7), e68397.

Grieneisen ML, et al. (2012) A comprehensive survey of retracted articles from the scholarly literature. PloS one, 7(10), e44118.

Ghosh SS, et al. (2012) Learning from open source software projects to improve scientific review. Frontiers in computational neuroscience, 6, 18.